



# **ExDe: Design Space Exploration of Scheduler Architectures and Mechanisms** for Serverless Data-processing

Sacheendra Talluri<sup>1</sup>, Nikolas Herbst<sup>2</sup>, Cristina Abad<sup>3</sup>, Tiziano De Matteis<sup>1</sup>, Alexandru Iosup<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>VU Amsterdam, <sup>2</sup>Würzburg University, <sup>3</sup>ESPOL



# Serverless Supports A Variety of Workloads





**Text Search** 

**Business Analytics** 

**Scientific Computing** 

Multimedia Search

**Video Analytics** 

**ML** Inference

. . .



Deen	Loorning	Informer
Deep	Learning	interence



# Serverless Data-processing





#### Serverless Data-processing



VU https://atlarge-research.com/pdfs/exde\_fgcs23.pdf

#### Serverless Data-processing









https://atlarge-research.com/pdfs/exde fgcs23.pdf

#### **Research Questions**

#### How do we compare schedulers across the design space?

How do we characterize scheduler performance?



# Research Questions (Challenges)

How do we compare schedulers across the design space?

A lot of schedulers are quite close to each other

How do we characterize scheduler performance?

Wide workload and design variety



How do we compare schedulers across the design space?

Exhaustively list all possible features



Only look at extreme points



Look at what is implemented





#### **Scheduler Frames**

The **scheduler frame** is the set of all mechanisms in the scheduler that enable actions **not possible by any local modification** of the scheduler algorithm and policy. Instead, a frame requires coordination between multiple scheduler components.

Each scheduler frame is an identifiable point in the design space.



# **Scheduler Frames**

The **scheduler frame** is the set of all mechanisms in the scheduler that enable actions **not possible by any local modification** of the scheduler algorithm and policy. Instead, a frame requires coordination between multiple scheduler components.

Each scheduler frame is an identifiable point in the design space.



Work stealing - placer and host software modification

# **Existing Mechanisms Characterized Using Frames**

Mechanism	Components used						Implementations
	Placer(s)	Broker	Host	Client	Metadata	DM	Implementations
Architecture	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			Centralized [28, 29], decentralized [30, 4], delegated [31, 13], hybrid [32, 33]
Preemption	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$				Threshold-based [34], fair sharing [24]
Control-flow	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				Push/pull [35], speculative exec. [36]
Data placement	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Shuffle [27], intermediate data [21]
Fault tolerance	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Checkpoint [37], retry [38]
Networking	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$		NetHint [39]
Barriers	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					Gang scheduling [18, 40]

# How do we characterize scheduler performance?

Using simulation

Isolate performance impact

Evaluate 10s of designs

Across many workloads

Time-efficient



Fine-grained modeling of components and communication between them as identified in the scheduler frame

Take into account system's distributed nature in simulation

# **Simulator Setup**

**OpenDC Simulator** 

- 54 traces from IBM
- 3 million tasks per trace
- 15-31 node clusters based on the trace
- 4 CPUs per node
- FIFO + Least loaded node placement policy

Metric:

Slowdown = executime time / ideal execution time









Scheduler architectures

Centralized — Decentralized — Delegated

https://atlarge-research.com/pdfs/exde fgcs23.pdf



**CPU Utilization** 

# Realisation For Real Systems [WIP]

- Kubernetes-based Scheduler as a Service





# Kubernetes-based Scheduler as a Service [WIP]





https://atlarge-research.com/pdfs/exde fgcs23.pdf

# Kubernetes-based Scheduler as a Service [WIP]

- Distributed component support based on Scheduler Frames
- Plug-n-play for multiple systems
- Advanced scheduling policy and mechanism library



https://atlarge-research.com/pdfs/exde\_fgcs23.pdf

# Kubernetes-based Scheduler as a Service [WIP]



# Key Takeaways

- 1. Scheduler architecture and mechanism design space is large
- 2. Scheduler frames help identify unique points in the design space
  - a. Difference emphasized by non-local modification
- 3. Implemented in OpenDC for design space characterization
  - a. Work stealing tames tail performance
- 4. Kubernetes-based Scheduler as a Service in progress



https://github.com/atlarge-research/opendc

# **Further Reading**

[this work] Talluri, S., Herbst, N., Abad, C., De Matteis, T., & Iosup, A. (2024). ExDe: Design space exploration of scheduler architectures and mechanisms for serverless data-processing. Future Generation Computer Systems, 153, 84-96.

[related work on scheduler APIs] Manterola Lasa, A., Talluri, S., De Matteis, T., & Iosup, A. (2024, May). The Cost of Simplicity: Understanding Datacenter Scheduler Programming Abstractions. In Proceedings of the 15th ACM/SPEC International Conference on Performance Engineering (pp. 166-177).

[using the tools] Talluri, S., Herbst, N., Abad, C., Trivedi, A., & Iosup, A. (2023, May). A Trace-driven Performance Evaluation of Hash-based Task Placement Algorithms for Cache-enabled Serverless Computing. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers (pp. 164-175).

[reference architecture] Andreadis, G., Versluis, L., Mastenbroek, F., & Iosup, A. (2018, November). A reference architecture for datacenter scheduling: design, validation, and experiments. In SC18: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (pp. 478-492). IEEE.

